

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/002,428	PIAZZA ET AL.	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Arezoo Sherkat	2131	

All Participants:

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Arezoo Sherkat.

(3) Joseph P. Lally.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 9 December 2005

Time: 4:00 pm

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

22 and 23

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner suggested amending claim 22 to make it consistent with the allowed claims.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
Arezoo Sherkat  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)